A novel type of coronavirus has been recognized at the beginning of December 2019. The virus was initially named as 2019-CoV which was later called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The epidemic was first started in Wuhan, China in December 2019. COVID-19 was found to be a zoonotic pathogen according to a recent research with pangolins and Chinese horseshoe bats, similar to the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus. As of 15 June, COVID-19 has been recognized in more than 215 countries, with a total of over 24 million laboratory-confirmed cases and more than 441,000 deaths. This novel viral infection spreads faster and has higher contagious rate than SARS and MERS. According to studies, the incubation period of COVID-19 was estimated between 1 and 14 days. The transmission route of COVID-19 to human was reported to be via air-borne droplets, blood-borne droplets, saliva, body secretions, touching, hand-shaking, and close contact with infected person as well as virus contaminated surfaces. In addition to these transmission routes, fecal–oral route could carry a risk as coronavirus was detected in the stool of patients.

The majority of patients with COVID-19 represent mild clinical manifestations with fever, shortness of breath, fatigue, muscle pain, headache, diarrhea, vomiting, and dry cough. In some severe conditions, development of pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, shock, and arrhythmia were investigated. Patients with severe conditions were kept under consent of intensive-care units.

The most susceptible individuals were found to be immune-compromised individuals with older age and people with existing clinical conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. These susceptible individuals were associated with severe clinical manifestations and poor prognosis.

The travel history of patients 14 days prior to symptom onset was regarded as an important parameter in terms of diagnosis of COVID-19. Apart from the travel history, various laboratory tests [e.g., reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), chest computed tomography (CT), serological tests] were applied to patients with clinical symptoms in order to diagnose the disease. Until now, there is no specific anti-nCoV treatment, so majority of the treatment methods applied were supportive treatments. All these findings suggested the importance of early diagnosis and self-isolation in terms of COVID-19.

COVID-19 was found to be very contagious to some of the professions, especially the health-care workers. Huge numbers of health-care workers were reported to have acquired COVID-19 while working with COVID-19 infected individuals. These findings indicate that dental practitioners were also under high risk of getting COVID-19 due to their working environments (close contact with patients, usage of high speed rotary instruments, exposure to body fluids such as saliva and blood). Although COVID-19 patients were not allowed to have dental treatments, some asymptomatic cases, or individuals with post infection would carry a huge risk in terms of these dental practitioners. These unavoidable contacts with patients during this pandemic period made dentists to have extreme awareness and integrity to deal with disease and manage the control of its spread.

**Abstract:**

**Objectives:** The present study aimed to evaluate the awareness and assessing the knowledge of dental students and dentists on COVID-19.

**Methods:** The study population consisted of undergraduate students who are currently studying dentistry in Cyprus. Students were sent an online survey and data were collected on 16 April. Survey comprised of questions about the demography, education level of the participants, their infection control knowledge, and conditions that require treatment during pandemic.

**Results:** A total of 594 students with equal gender distribution joined the survey. The age group of the participants were mostly between 18 and 25 age (94%) with 63% pre-clinical and 37% clinical students. The majority of participating students were aware that dentists were at high-risk group in terms of COVID-19 (98.5%). When clinical questions were asked, data indicated strong relationship between participants’ responses with their level of study. Upon asking COVID-19-specific questions, participants that have taken microbiology course were reported to have higher correct answer rate.

**Conclusions:** Dental students studying in Cyprus demonstrated high awareness of COVID-19 and the higher risks of COVID-19 exposure that dental professionals face. Study demonstrated the importance of microbiology courses, as it significantly affected the answers to the questions on diagnosis methods and immune response to COVID-19.
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**Introduction:**

A novel type of coronavirus has been recognized at the beginning of December 2019. The virus was initially named as 2019-CoV which was later called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The epidemic was first started in Wuhan, China in December 2019. COVID-19 was found to be a zoonotic pathogen according to a recent research with pangolins and Chinese horseshoe bats, similar to the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus. As of 15 June, COVID-19 has been recognized in more than 215 countries, with a total of over 24 million laboratory-confirmed cases and more than 441,000 deaths. This novel viral infection spreads faster and has higher contagious rate than SARS and MERS. According to studies, the incubation period of COVID-19 was estimated between 1 and 14 days. The transmission route of COVID-19 to human was reported to be via air-borne droplets, blood-borne droplets, saliva, body secretions, touching, hand-shaking, and close contact with infected person as well as virus contaminated surfaces. In addition to these transmission routes, fecal–oral route could carry a risk as coronavirus was detected in the stool of patients.

The majority of patients with COVID-19 represent mild clinical manifestations with fever, shortness of breath, fatigue, muscle pain, headache, diarrhea, vomiting, and dry cough. In some severe conditions, development of pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, shock, and arrhythmia were investigated. Patients with severe conditions were kept under consent of intensive-care units.

The most susceptible individuals were found to be immune-compromised individuals with older age and people with existing clinical conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. These susceptible individuals were associated with severe clinical manifestations and poor prognosis.

The travel history of patients 14 days prior to symptom onset was regarded as an important parameter in terms of diagnosis of COVID-19. Apart from the travel history, various laboratory tests [e.g., reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), chest computed tomography (CT), serological tests] were applied to patients with clinical symptoms in order to diagnose the disease. Until now, there is no specific anti-nCoV treatment, so majority of the treatment methods applied were supportive treatments. All these findings suggested the importance of early diagnosis and self-isolation in terms of COVID-19.

COVID-19 was found to be very contagious to some of the professions, especially the health-care workers. Huge numbers of health-care workers were reported to have acquired COVID-19 while working with COVID-19 infected individuals. These findings indicate that dental practitioners were also under high risk of getting COVID-19 due to their working environments (close contact with patients, usage of high speed rotary instruments, exposure to body fluids such as saliva and blood). Although COVID-19 patients were not allowed to have dental treatments, some asymptomatic cases, or individuals with post infection would carry a huge risk in terms of these dental practitioners. These unavoidable contacts with patients during this pandemic period made dentists to have extreme awareness and integrity to deal with disease and manage the control of its spread.
The presence of infection prevention guidelines by country’s ministry of health provide minimum requirements that are needed to be applied by health-care workers. Following these precautions precisely would help patients’ protection and also the dental professionals. This study aimed to evaluate the awareness and assessing the knowledge of dental students and dentists on COVID-19.

Materials and Methods:

Sample

A total of 1370 undergraduate students who are currently studying dentistry in Cyprus were invited to take part in an online questionnaire. Invitation was posted on student’s class representatives and students’ social media groups. The period of the survey was April 15–16, 2020.

Materials

Questions were developed following the current guidelines and literature regarding COVID-19. An online Google Forms was used to construct a questionnaire comprising the following areas: (1) Demographic questions (gender, age, ethnicity), (2) questions related to their education year (pre-clinical or clinical classes, whether they have taken microbiology courses), (3) general questions to determine their COVID-19 knowledge, (4) questions related to diagnosis of COVID-19, (5) questions to measure their infection control knowledge, and (6) questions related to COVID-19 and dental applications. ‘No opinion’ option has also been added to some of the suitable questions asked during the survey.

All participants were informed about the questionnaire beforehand. Participation of respondents was voluntary and anonymous. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of Near East University (YDU/2020/79-1105).

Data Analysis

Data were collected in an Excel file and statistical analysis was done on SPSS 16.0 (IBM Corp, New York, USA). Chi-square and Spearman correlation tests were performed to assess the relation of the dentistry students’ responses with respect to gender and to their clinical experience (according to their education level) and whether they have taken microbiology courses.

Results:

Demography and Education

This study covers a total of 594 dentistry students (291 females and 303 males), with a responsive rate of 49.5% of total invited students. The age of participants were ranged from 18 to 40+, with most common group belonging to 18–25 ages (94.5%, 561). The vast majority of survey participants are people who come from international countries such as; Turkey (69%, 410), Iran (5%, 30), Jordan (3%, 18), Iraq (4%, 24), Syria (4%, 24), United Arab Emirates (2%, 12), Lebanon (2%, 12), and Germany, France, Canada, Nigeria, Sudan with <1%. International students were also asked whether they returned to their home countries after the start of COVID-19 and 47% (280) of the participants reported that they have returned to their countries. The majority of students (40%) who turned back their countries indicated that they wanted to be with their families during the pandemic (Fig. 1). The participants of survey were also asked which classes they went to during the academic year 2019–2020, so that the differences between pre-clinical and clinical classes were aimed to take into account. According to the data, 375 (63%) participants were in pre-clinical classes (1st, 2nd and 3rd years) and 219 (37%) participants were clinical classes (4th and 5th years). Participants’ microbiology knowledge was also assessed during the survey and 64% (343) of the participants reported that they had microbiology classes during their dentistry education.

Awareness of Participants

The vast majority of respondents said they were first aware of COVID-19 pandemic through TV news (43%, 261) and social media (51%, 301). Only small population of participants was first aware of COVID-19 pandemic through newspapers (2%, 12) and university announcements (4%, 24). Participants were asked about the sources of information they are following to be aware of COVID-19 status. The main platform participants used was social media (35%, 208), TV News (26%, 154), the ministry of health website (21%, 125), and to a lesser extent, research journals related to COVID-19 (12%, 71) were reported. Participants’ awareness were also investigated by asking current worldwide status (April 15) of COVID-19 pandemic. Almost all of the participants knew the country where pandemic originated (99.5%, 591). The majority defined the country with the highest number of death rate as USA (70%, 416) and the country with the highest recovery rate as China (53%, 315).
Participants’ general knowledge about coronavirus was also assessed during the survey. Vast majority of the participants (90%, 534) were aware of the incubation period of the coronavirus (1–14 days) and knew that 40+ individuals were under high-risk when compared to younger individuals (82%, 487). When asked about the symptoms of COVID-19, majority of participants reported fever (97%, 576), dry-cough (94%, 558), and shortness of breath (97%, 576) as symptoms. One-third of the participants reported headache (34%, 202) and diarrhea (35%, 208), two-third of the participants reported sore throat (64%, 380) as symptom of the disease. Less than 20% of the participants selected runny nose and dizziness as symptoms of COVID-19. When asked about the measures for preventing COVID-19, majority of the participants reported that cleaning hands (94%, 558), use of gloves (82%, 487), use of face masks (89%, 529), not going out unless needed (98%, 582), avoiding family and neighbor visits (94%, 558), maintaining social distance (98%, 582), disinfecting clothes worn outside (85%, 505) can help to prevent transmission of COVID-19. When asked about the transmission of COVID-19 via hand contact, 73% (434) of the participant confirmed that. COVID-19 transmission type was also asked to the individuals and 75% (446) of the participants knew that the disease is zoonotic. The present study reported no significant relationship between participants’ responses with gender, age, or home country. The study also indicated a significant relationship between participants’ education level (whether they have taken microbiology courses) and responses to questions like transmission routes, whether the disease is zoonotic or not.

**Measurement of COVID-19 Precautions in the Eye of Dentists**

Almost all of the participants 98.5% (585) reported that, dentists are at high-risk group in terms of COVID-19 and measurement of patients’ temperatures should be mandatory (93%, 551). A total of 89% (527) students indicated that exceeding social distance limit with patient, exposure to body fluids such as blood or saliva (94%, 562) and use of high speed rotary instruments (54%, 325) are the causes that increases dentists tendency to the disease. Participants reported that it is important to change gloves (97.5%, 580), face masks (96%, 570), wearing face shields (93%, 553). They were aware of importance of disinfecting units after treatment of each patient (90%, 538) and sterilization of instruments used (91%, 546). Majority of participants mentioned that during theCOVID-19 outbreak, painful tooth requiring root canal treatment (90%, 535), swelling of face (82%, 492), and trauma (87%, 518) were accepted reasons for patient treatments, whereas, only small percentage of participant population which significantly comprised of pre-clinical students selected dental caries (14%, 88), routine control (2.5%, 15), and completion treatment started before outbreak (36%, 207) as acceptable reasons in treatment. The clinical questions reported statistically significant relationship between participants’ responses with their level of study (pre-clinical and clinical students).

**Measurement of Perception Levels of Participants on COVID-19**

Participants were asked about the mortality rates of SARS-CoV-2 in comparison to some other viral diseases (SARS, MERS, and Seasonal Influenza). One-fourth (25.9%, 202) of the individuals reported that mortality related to seasonal influenza is lower than SARS-CoV-2, whereas, 22% (175) and 16.9% (132) of the respondents respectively indicated SARS and MERS have lower mortality rates than SARS-CoV-2. One-third (271, 34.7%) of the participants indicated that they have no knowledge about the mortality rates. When information about current COVID-19 diagnostic tests such as serological tests and RT-PCR were asked, minor level of participants were aware of the importance of IgG (16%, 99) and IgM (20%, 122) antibody measurements, however, nearly half of them were aware of the importance of RT-PCR analysis (45%, 286) in terms of COVID-19 diagnosis. Participants who had selected IgE (8.5%, 51) and IgA (12%, 76) measurements were reported as minorities (Fig 2). However, 49% (295) of the participants indicated that they had no opinion regarding the question, which were significantly belonging to dental students did not have microbiology course yet (1st year students). Moreover, the participants’ knowledge about the speed of the diagnostic tests was also asked. Only 27% (162) of the participants were aware of the fact that serological tests (measuring antibody levels) were responsible for quicker diagnosis of the disease, but 30% (182) of the individuals reported RT-PCR analysis is quicker and 43% (250) of them reported that they had no opinion regarding question. General questions regarding the diagnostic tools were also included in the survey. Nearly, one-third of the participants (30%, 174) reported that, RT-PCR analysis could possibly give false-negative result when sample is not taken properly and 10% (56) of them were aware of that RT-PCR has relatively more accuracy when compared to the serological tests (Fig 2). Some other chosen answers were IgM
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Fig. 1  Graphical representation of participants answers on COVID-19 diagnostic methods.
response have a good knowledge in general disease management protocols, for instance; importance of washing hands, use of gloves and face masks, not going out unless necessary, maintaining social distance, avoiding of family and neighbor visits, and disinfecting clothes worn outside.

Some more questions were also added in the survey to measure the knowledge about precautions needed to be taken in the field of dentistry. Nearly all of the participants (99%) were aware of the fact that dentists are at the top of the professions with highest risk group due to the normal exceeding social distance with patient and exposure to body fluids of patients, with more than half of the individuals were aware of risk created due to the usage of rotary instruments during treatment. The individuals who are aware of the risk of using rotary instruments were significantly found to be the ones with clinical experience. These have a good knowledge in rotary instruments as they are actively using them during clinical practices. After asking about the risks that may cause danger in clinic, participants were asked about necessary measures to minimize these risks. The answers received were quite satisfactory. Nearly all of the participants were aware of the importance of using face shields, face masks, gloves, disinfecting units after each treatment, proper sterilization of instruments, measuring patients’ temperatures prior to entry to hospital in terms of protecting both patients and dentists. When asked about which condition should be a reason to apply to dentists during pandemic period, vast majority of them have mentioned that patients with painful tooth requiring root canal treatment, patients with swollen face and trauma patients should only apply to dentists. Dental caries and completion of treatment started before pandemic (15% and 35%, respectively) were also selected as conditions should be cared during COVID-19 pandemic which can be attributed to their insufficient clinical experiences. Studying at clinical classes significantly affected the answer of the students and only students at pre-clinical classes selected these two choices.

It was aimed to measure the actual knowledge of the students and evaluate its relationship with their education level. Therefore, ‘no opinion’ option was added to the appropriate questions in the survey for the prevention of random selection among choices. Participants’ detailed knowledge about the rate of COVID-19 infection in comparison to other viral diseases, diagnosis methods and immune response formed during and after infection was also investigated during the survey. The mortality rates of SARS-CoV-2, seasonal influenza, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV were also asked to the participants. More than on-third of the participants knew that, seasonal influenza has lower mortality rate than SARS-CoV-2 whereas SARS and MERS had higher mortality rates. However, 45% of the participants, especially those who are first-year students, did not answer this question correctly. The main reason for this may be due to the lack of microbiology classes in the first years of dentistry curriculum. Test methods for COVID-19 diagnosis were also asked during the survey. Nearly half of the participants had knowledge about the importance of RT-PCR tests during disease diagnosis, however only one-fifth of the participants were aware of the importance of antibody titer (IgG and IgM) measurements by serological tests. Nearly half of the participants had no knowledge about the diagnostic tests according to the survey. Further detailed questions were also asked about the diagnostic tests. Only one-fourth of the participants stated that the serological tests respond faster.
than the RT-PCR tests, while the remaining three-fourth either gave wrong answer or had no opinion. The detailed questions were asked against COVID-19 diagnostic tools and about one-third of the participants showed that they knew the importance of sampling while performing RT-PCR tests, and that if the sample was not taken properly, false-negative data might be obtained. One in ten participants stated that RT-PCR had high accuracy as well. In addition to these correct answers, approximately two-third of the participants stated that they did not know about this question. Nearly one-third of the participants are aware that serological tests and RT-PCR tests together provide more accurate results and one-fifth of them indicated that quick-serological tests are advantageous in screening large populations. However, more than half of the students, especially the ones in early years of education had no opinion about these aspects of the COVID-19 diagnostic tests. These might be attributed to condense immunological and pharmacology classes are held during the second and third years of the curriculum.

Dental students studying in Cyprus showed high awareness of COVID-19 and the higher risks of COVID-19 exposure that dental professionals face. Preventing the spread of corona virus during dental applications and the conditions that require treatment during pandemic was dependent on the clinical experience of participants. Having microbiology data might be obtained. One in ten participants stated that they did not know about this question. Nearly one-third of the participants showed that they knew more than half of the students, especially the ones in early years of education had no opinion about these aspects of the COVID-19 diagnostic tests. These might be attributed to condense immunological and pharmacology classes are held during the second and third years of the curriculum.
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