Predictive factors of survival rate in oral squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective study in Iran

Narges Gholizadeh, a Hanieh Sadat Emarni Razavi, b Gholamali Jafari Haftkhani, c and Nafiseh Sheykhbahaei d

a Oral & maxillofacial Medicine, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran.
b Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Alborz University of Medical Science, Karaj, Iran.
c Department of Ear, Nose, Throat and Head and Neck Surgery, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran.
Correspondence to Nafiseh Sheykhbahaei (email: dsheykhbahaei@gmail.com).
(Submitted: 12 January 2019 – Revised version received: 27 February 2019 – Accepted: 05 March 2019 – Published online: 26 April 2019)

Introduction

Nowadays, increasing the world’s population and the average age, promoting life expectancy, improving the health status and high exposure to carcinogenic risk factors have led to an increase in the global incidence of cancer. Oral cancer as a part of this collection plays an important role in the burden of diseases, especially in South Asia. Despite numerous advances in the treatment of cancers, oral cancer is one of the top 10 causes of death due to delayed detection. Given the role of the environmental and climatic conditions in cancers, there is a need for epidemiological studies in different geographic areas.

Objective Oral cancer as a part of this collection plays an important role in the burden of diseases, especially in South Asia. Despite numerous advances in the treatment of cancers, oral cancer is one of the top 10 causes of death due to delayed detection. Given the role of the environmental and climatic conditions in cancers, there is a need for epidemiological studies in different geographic areas.

Methods In this study, data were collected through medical records of the patients with oral cancer during 2009–2012 in the archives of the Cancer Department of the Ministry of Health of the Islamic Republic of Iran. The follow-up of 82 cases was possible in the study. Data collection were performed from questionnaire. The data were analyzed by SPSS version 20. The Kaplan–Meier survival curves were utilized and, moreover, the corresponding influential factors were examined using the Cox regression test.

Results The overall 5-year survival rate was 40.24% (SE = 5.5). The most widely used treatment method was a combination of three methods of surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy. The gender of 64.63% of patients were male. The mean age of patients were 66.1 years. The age and regular periodic follow-up had a statistically significant relation with the survival rate (P = 0.02, 0.03).

Conclusion Oral cancers require special attention due to high prevalence and overall survival rates. Training oral health care providers, and periodic follow-up can be an important step in increasing the survival of these patients.
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Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in retrospective and cross-sectional design, whose data were collected through the 436 medical records of the patients with oral cancer during 2009–2012 in the archives of the Cancer Department of the Ministry of Health of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Totally, the enrolled medical records in our study were 82 out of 436 cases with a histopathologic diagnosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma, and a contact information or mailing address. All new cases of cancer detection in Iran refer to cancer registry centers from pathology labs through the forms prepared by the Ministry of
Health since 2003, consisting of demographic profiles, diagnostic times and pathological parameters of the disease. Other items included in this form are the location of the lesion, the degree of differentiation and degree of invasion with the special codes. Information of our patients was gathered by a checklist designed as two groups of questions that were completed by the information in Cancer Registration Center forms and telephone by patients or relatives, including survival status, treatment method and delayed time. In collecting patient information, the lack of a response to three times of phone call with a 2-week interval resulted in the use of postal services, and in the absence of a repeated response, the use of the medical record available at the Cancer Institute of Imam Khomeini Hospital. Meanwhile, if any, the relatives were asked to explain about the causes of death in the deceased subjects, which classified into two groups of cancer complications and other causes such as accidents or heart attacks. Concerning a delay studied within the two groups of <4 weeks and >4 weeks, the patient delay was defined as interval from the onset of disease symptoms to the first patient referral, and professional delay as interval from the first patient referral to diagnosis.

This study was approved by The Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Science. The SPSS version 20 software was used to analyze the attained data using descriptive statistics of tables and figures, and the Kaplan–Meier curve to evaluate the survival, as well as the simple and multivariate Cox regression test to assess the effect of the studied factors on survival rate. In all tests, \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant difference.

**Results**

Our subjects included 53 (64.63%) males and 29 (35.37%) females and 97.33% of them were married. The results showed that the prevalence of oral cancer in females was twice lower than in males.

According to Fig. 1, 32.9% of patients were ≤60 years and 67.1% were >60 years with the mean age of 66.1 years for all patients ranging from 31 to 89 years. In fact, most of our patients were living in their 6th to 8th decades of life. On the basis of histological grade of the lesions, 67.39% of the patients suffered from completely differentiated lesions, 17.39% had relatively differentiated lesions and 15.22% of them showed less differentiated lesions.

In this study, there were several diagnostic procedures, the participants found the risk of cancer symptoms by themselves \( (n = 42, 71.18%) \), a physician due to referral for other diseases \( (n = 13, 22.03%) \) and a dentist \( (n = 4, 6.79%) \). The statistical findings of the first referral in this study can be categorized according to whom the patient has referred to for the first time. The first referral of the patients was to a specialist physician \( (n = 41, 56.18%) \), a general practitioner \( (n = 24, 32.87%) \) and a dentist \( (n = 8, 10.95%) \).

About delay factors in cancer management, the mean patient delay was reported to be 16.3 ± 17.7 weeks (approximately 4 months) ranging from a week to a year (52 weeks). A delay <4 weeks was 37.8% and a delay >4 weeks was 46.3%. The mean professional delay was 4.75 ± 5.29 weeks ranging from a week to 28 weeks.

The patients with the oral cancers were treated in three methods, surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. In many cases, the treatment of patients was a combination of two or three methods. Accordingly, the most commonly used treatment (36.6%) for the patients was the combination of all three treatments. Surgery 15.9%, chemotherapy 3.7%, radiotherapy 6.1%, chemoradiotherapy 11%, chemosurgery 15.9%, radiosurgery 7.3% and 2.4% of patients with no treatment.

The periodic follow-up was fulfilled in the hospital \( (n = 30, 66.66%) \) and in the private medical clinic \( (n = 15, 33.34%) \) and no follow-up programs \( (n = 0) \). An oral hygienist performed the periodic follow-up for 12.2% of the patients.

In this study, the locations of tumor were respectively the lateral borders of tongue (20.4%), the floor of mouth (21%), the base of the tongue (1.7%), lips (1.3%), gums and palate (0.95%) and other parts of the mouth (7.7%).

In this study, 49 (59.75%) survived and 33 (40.25%) died during the study due to the cancer complications \( (n = 43, 93.47%) \) and other causes \( (n = 3, 6.93%) \). As can be seen in Table 1, the 1-year overall survival rate was 91.46% \( (SE = 3.09) \), the 3-year overall survival rate was 53.7% \( (SE = 5.5) \) and the 5-year overall survival rate was 40.24% \( (SE = 5.5) \).

The survival rate in females was more than in males, but the gender showed no statistically significant relationship with survival rate \( (P = 0.41) \). However, the age of the patients had statistically significant relationship with survival rate, meaning the reduction in the survival rate with age \( (P > 0.001) \). Moreover, the survival rate was significantly improved by the regular periodic follow-up \( (P = 0.03) \). The patients experiencing periodic follow-up under the supervision of oral hygienists had no significant difference in the survival rate \( (P = 0.51) \).

The survival rate showed no significant relationship with the patient delay >4 weeks \( (P = 0.37) \), and also with the professional delay \( (P = 0.54) \), as well as with the degree of tumor differentiation \( (P = 0.35) \). According to Table 2, the multivariate Cox regression revealed that the survival rate was not in significant relationship with the treatments of only surgery \( (P = 0.055) \), only radiotherapy \( (P = 0.64) \) and only chemotherapy \( (P = 0.73) \).

**Discussion**

This study examined the association between the demographic variables of oral SCC (OSCC) patients, tumor-related characteristics, and the used diagnostic and therapeutic methods with survival rate of patients. Data were collected from patients during 2009–2012 in Cancer Registry Center of Ministry of Health and Medical Education and Cancer Institute of Imam Khomeini Hospital, Iran. Based on the results, only age and periodic follow-ups showed a significant correlation with the survival rate. This study obtained 1-year survival rate of 91.46% with a standard error \( (SE) \) of 3.09, 2-year overall survival rate of 69.51% \( (SE = 5.08) \), 3-year overall survival rate of 53.7% \( (SE = 5.5) \), and 5-year overall survival rate of 40.24% \( (SE = 5.5) \). In a study by Varnakulasuriya et al. 5-year overall survival rate in most countries for oral cancer was reported to be 50–60%. In a study by Silverman in the United States, the 5-year overall survival rate was 60%, which is 10% higher than this study. The difference in the survival rate in this research with other studies in developed countries could be due to better health infrastructures of these countries or better access to treatment centers for the patients. In a study in Netherlands in 2014, the survival rate was improved in spite of the increased incidence of OSCC. An increase in the incidence of cancer can be attributed to changes in lifestyle, and improved survival
rate due to the development of health facilities and the promotion of public awareness.

In this study, the mean age of all patients with oral cancer was 66.1 years. In addition, 32.9% of subjects under study were aged ≤60 years old and 67.1% were aged >60 years. Also, the minimum and maximum age of these patients was 31 and 89 years, respectively. In the study by Warnakulasuriya et al., in England in 2010, the mean age of patients was 62 years. In a study in 2013, the frequency of patients over the age of 40 years was about eight times more than people under 40 years.

Salian et al. reported a maximum outbreak in the 5th and 6th decades. In another study, the age range of affected individuals was reported between 11 and 94 years. The incidence of SCC is very rare in children and syndromes associated with genetic disorders can be raised in case of any incidence. In a study by Chamani in Iran, the mean age of patients with oral cancer was 11 years less than this study, which was 54.5 years. Bhurgri in India showed that only 2% of patients were over 60 years of age. In countries with high prevalence of oral cancer, it can be expected that the age of disease onset is lower than in other countries. Moreover, the lower life expectancy in these countries is effective in this age ratio. In recent decades, the prevalence of SCC in younger people has been considered, which could be due to changes in lifestyle for example the onset of tobacco and alcohol use and sexual intercourse at an earlier age. In this study, only the age variable showed a significant relationship with the survival rate of patients. Aging led to a decrease in the level of body immunity and an increase in the comorbidities such as cardiovascular, respiratory and renal diseases in patients with SCC, causing the reduction in patient survival. However, this relationship was not significant in the study of Sargeran in Iran, Chandu et al. in Australia and Chen et al. in Taiwan, as well as three other studies in 2014.

This study showed a higher prevalence of OSCC in men. According to the results, 64.63% of the patients were male and the rest were female. In an epidemiological study in Argentina, the prevalence of oral cancer in men between 1992 and 2000 was 1.24 times higher than in women.

In the study by Sargeran et al., in Iran, 55% of patients were male and the rest were women. In another study in Australia, 56.8% of the patients were male. In several other studies, male involvement was 1.5, 1.9, and 1.4 times higher than women. Meanwhile, an increase in the incidence of oral cancer in women has been noted in recent years. Two studies confirmed the higher prevalence of OSCC in women. Further, a study reported a steady increase in the incidence of SCC in middle-aged women over the past two decades, despite a lower incidence of oral cancer in women. Changes in the gender ratio in patients, especially in recent years, can be strongly attributed to changes in lifestyle, smoking habits, non-inhaled tobacco use, alcohol consumption, hormonal changes, and nutritional deficiencies such as iron, riboflavin, vitamins and minerals in women. Since cigarette and alcohol habits are less common in Iranian women, further attention should be driven toward other predisposing factors, such as nutritional deficiencies, viral agents such as HPV and chronic trauma, to prevent female involvement with SCC. The relationship between gender variable and survival rate in this study was not significant, consistent with the studies by Sargeran, Chandu et al. and Chen et al., and Hanemann et al., Fan et al. and Fang et al. The responses to the therapeutic and clinical course of the disease appear not to be affected by gender factors, resulting in a lack of influence of the gender factor on the survival rate.

In this study, 33 patients (40.25%) survived and the rest died. Of the 49 deceased patients with SCC, the cause of death was the cancer complications in 43 (93.47%) patients and other causes in three (6.53%) patients. In the study of Chandu et al., out of 115 patients, 88 (76.5%) survived, and 27 died of which 17 (14.8%) due to complications of cancer and 10 (8.7%) for other causes. In the study of Sargeran, the causes for death in 470 patients were the complications of cancer in 335 (71%) and the other causes in 18 (4%). Of these patients, 80 (17%) survived, and eventually 37 (8%) were undiagnosed. Based on the results, it seems that other factors such as patient delay due to lack of knowledge or lack of timely access to diagnostic and therapeutic centers as well as professional delay can be considered as key factors in the high mortality rate of oral cancer.

In this study, the first patient referral was to specialist physician in 41 (56.18%) out of all patients with SCC, a general practitioner in 24 (32.87%) and a dentist in the rest (10.95%). In the study of Kerdporn and Srilung in Thailand, the first patient referral was to a practitioner in 133 patients (82.6%), to a dentist in 25 (15.5%) and to a public health care provider in three (1.9%), reflecting differences in care and referral systems in Thailand. According to the results, it can be argued that the role of dentists has not been explained as the first referral in the diagnosis of OSCC in different societies, especially in our country, and inadequate information has been provided to society in this regard.

The most widely used treatment method for the patients among our statistical community was to combine all three methods of surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Among patients with OSCC, 37.5% experienced all three treatments, 12.5% surgery alone, 5.1% radiotherapy alone, 6.6% chemotherapy alone, 10.3% chemotherapy plus radiotherapy, 8.1% surgery plus chemotherapy and 11% radiotherapy plus surgery. In the study of Sargeran, 17% experienced only surgery, 66% surgery plus radiotherapy, and 17% radiotherapy alone. In this study, the treatment method used had a significant relationship with survival.

In the study of Chen et al., the surgical treatment method had a significant relationship with survival rate, but not significant in this study though the P-value was very close to the significance level (0.055), indicating the importance of surgical therapy in the OSCC treatment. This method, combined with other methods, leads to improved patient survival.

Hasegawa et al. also showed significantly higher loco regional control in patients treated by combining three therapies compared with surgery alone and surgery with radiotherapy. However, there was no significant difference in the overall survival rate of patients who received radiotherapy compared with the group receiving all three therapies.

In this study, it has been shown that regular periodic follow-ups by physicians, in contrast to orodental health technicians, have a significant effect on increasing the survival of patients through the timely diagnosis, treatment or referral of recurrent lesions.

Rapid diagnosis of cancer and urgent referral of patients are important factors in improving the survival rate of patients. In this study, the knowledge of patients about the symptoms of oral cancer as well as the status of the treatment
The degree of tumor differentiation depends on many factors at the time of diagnosis, including professional delay, patient delay, age, tumor location, and other cases. In the study of Chen et al., the association between histological differentiation and survival rate was significant, but not significant in this study.

**Conclusion**

Considering the increased percentage of people with oral cancer, it is essential to gain knowledge about the epidemiologic conditions of these patients, to investigate the barriers and problems in the health care system of different societies to improve the quality-of-life of these patients, and to develop educational programs. Oral cancers require special attention due to high prevalence and overall survival rates. Training oral health care providers, especially dentists, on precise examination and early detection of lesions and periodic follow-up of patients after histopathological diagnosis can be an important step in increasing the survival of these patients. Moreover, registration of treatment methods and response rates to these methods can be the basis for oral health decision making.
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